

IMHA Criteria Tool 2018: Screening

#	Screening criteria	Criteria definition	Yes!	Note
1	Aligns with CIHR Roadmap	Does the proposal fit with the CIHR strategic directions? (a) Promoting excellence, creativity and breadth in health research and knowledge translation (b) Mobilizing health research for transformation and impact	Yes (a) only Yes (b) only Both	If a topic does not fit within any of the CIHR strategic directions it cannot be proposed.
2	Aligns with existing IMHA strategic priorities	Does the proposal fit within <u>at least one</u> of the three IMHA strategic priorities? (a) Chronic pain and fatigue (b) Inflammation and repair (c) Disability, mobility and health	Which priorities? Please list:	If a topic does not fit within at least one of these three IMHA strategic priorities, then it cannot be proposed.
3	Is an IMHA community priority	Is the proposal a priority of at least one of the research communities IMHA serves: arthritis, bone, skin, muscle/ligament/tendon, oral health, rehabilitation, exercise Rx	Which communities?	If a topic is not a priority of any of the communities that IMHA serves, then it cannot be proposed.

IMHA Criteria Tool 2018: Criteria

#	Criteria	Criteria definition	Rating, please circle:	Weight (%)
1	Impact on research capacity	What is the expected impact of this proposal on opportunities for trainees, new investigators and any other professional personnel involved in the research? Consider the number involved and the quality of the experience	0: No impact 1: Minimal impact 2: Moderate impact 3: Substantial impact	13
2	Crosses CIHR themes	How many of CIHR's four themes of research— (1) biomedical, (2) clinical, (3) health services and (4) social, cultural, environmental and population health research— does the proposal cross?	0: n/a 1: One theme 2: Two or three themes 3: All four themes	9
3	General public and patient engagement	How well will the public and patients be engaged in the research described in the proposal?	0: No engagement 1: Minimal engagement 2: Moderate engagement 3: Substantial engagement	9
4	Integration with multi-institute CIHR initiatives	How does the proposal align with multi-institute initiatives ?	0: No integration 1: Minimal integration 2: Moderate integration 3: Substantial integration	3

5	Partnerships – not limited to CIHR	How many partners are involved in the proposal, what is the quality of the partnerships and are those potential partnerships realistic?	0: No significant partnerships 1: Minimal significant partnerships 2: Moderate significant partnerships 3: Substantial significant partnerships	7
6	Open competition potential	Would funding this proposal increase the likelihood for the proposal to be funded later in open competitions?	0: No impact 1: Minimal impact 2: Moderate impact 3: Substantial impact	5
7	Impact on the advancement of knowledge	What is the likelihood that this proposal would lead to new knowledge being generated, including new technologies or instruments. Consider the knowledge gap that this proposal addresses.	0: No new knowledge expected 1: Minimal new knowledge expected 2: Moderate new knowledge expected 3: Substantial new knowledge expected	18
8	Impact on health outcomes and health care systems	Will this proposal improve health outcomes? Quality of life, measures of incidence and prevalence would enter into the measurement of this impact.	0: No impact 1: Minimal impact 2: Moderate impact 3: Substantial impact	18
9	Equity and diversity and inclusion	What is the expected impact of this proposal on health equity in Canada or on diversity and inclusion in the research field in Canada?	0: No impact 1: Minimal impact 2: Moderate impact 3: Substantial impact	5
10	Innovative	Does this proposal have potential for true innovation (e.g., blockchain, AI)	0: No potential for true innovation 1: Minimal potential for true innovation 2: Moderate potential 3: Substantial potential	13